

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,168	02/23/2006	Wadih Arap	UTSC:857US	5612
	7590 12/23/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRESS AVE.			NATARAJAN, MEERA	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 7	78701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/530,168
 ARAP ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 MEERA NATARAJAN
 1643

	MEERA NATARAJAN	1643					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) Meera Natarajan.	(3)						
(2) <u>David Parker</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>17 December 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>14</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Arap et al. and Thakur et al.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı) was not reached. h) N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicant discussed prior art Arap et al. being their own priority document. Discussed claim 14 in regards to being free of the prior art, dependent claims 16-18, 55 and 56 were incorrectly rejected in the 103(a) relection on page 3 of the office action 11/26/2008. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	/Meera Natarajan/						